

Volume 9. Issue 22

Parent's Honour as a Petach

With the start of ninth *perek* with begin to discuss *hatarat nedarim* – the undoing of the *neder*. After the person presents his *neder* to a *chacham*, a *petach* (opening), a regrettable and foreseeable consequence of the *neder*, must be identified to enable the *hatara*. The first *Mishnah* discusses whether one can use his parent's honour as a *petach*. In other words, the *chacham* asks the person whether he had considered whether people would comment to his parents how flippant he is with *nedarim*. *R' Eliezer* rules that this is an acceptable technique whereas the *Chachamim* reject it.

The Gemara (Nearim 64a) brings two opinions explaining the position of the Chachamim. Abaye explains that allowing this petach would mean that nedarim would not be properly annulled. It is possible that a person would not have really regretted making the neder based on his parents honour, yet be too embarrassed to admit it. Rava on the other hand explains that the ease of this technique might lead people to err and believe that they can annul their own neder and will not seek a chacham to annul their nedarim.

The *Tosfot Yom Tov* notes that the *Bartenura* cites the opinion of *Abaye* as apposed to *Rava*'s. This is noteworthy as in general when there is a debate between in *Rava* and *Abaye*, we rule according to the opinion of *Rava*. The *Tosfot Yom Tov* answers that this principle only applies to *halachic* rulings. The debate here however, is about the reason behind the *Chachamim*'s position and *Abaye* reason makes more sense. (This is also the *Beit Yosef*'s answer for why the *Tur* quoted the opinion of *Abaye*).

The *Chatam Sofer* however presents two implication of the debate between *Abaye* and *Rava*. The first is that if the one nonetheless performed *hatarat nedarim* based on this *petach*. According to *Rava* it work as it was carried out by a *chacham*. According to *Abaye* however there would still be questions regarding the person's sincerity. The second difference is that if the person went to the *chacham* and opened saying that he came due to the impact on his parent honour, without being prompted,

perhaps we could be lenient and allow the *hatara* to go ahead.

We find therefore that the debate is not simply about rationalising the opinion of the *Chachamim* and there are legal implications. Why then to the commentators cite the opinion of *Abaye* and not *Rava*?

The Chatam Sofer suggests the following answer. There are two versions of our Mishnah. According to the Ran the flow is as follows. After the positions of R' Eliezer and the Chachamim are stated, the Mishnah continues with R' Tzadok arguing that according to R' Eliezer one could use Hashem's honour as a petach. The Mishnah then continues that the Chachamim respond that if that were the case then there would be "no nedarim" (the meaning of which debated by Abaye and Rava). In other words even R' Eliezer would not agree that Hashem's honour could be used as a petach.

The second version of the *Mishnah* is the one we have. The *Chachamim* are not responding to *R' Tzadok* but the statement "if so there will be no *nedarim*" is a continuation of *R' Tzadok*'s argument. The *Tosfot Yom Tov* explains that it is this version that the *Rambam* commented on. According to this reading, the *Rambam* explains that *R' Tzadok* argues that if parent's honour can be used, then we would never need a *Chacham* for *hatarat nedarim*. The *Chachamim*'s opinion however is that even if parent's honour is used, *hatara* would still be required.

To explain further, both *Abaye* and *Rava* agree that the reason the *Chachamim* reject the use of parent's honour is because we are concerned that the person will not be truly be honest when admitting his regret. They are arguing only about the position of *R' Tzadok*. Consequently since the *halacha* follows the opinion of the *Chachamim*, when the *mefarshim* explain their position as being concerned for insincere regret, they are not chosing *Abaye*'s opinion of *Rava* as everyone agrees that this is the *Chachamim*'s rationale.

Yisrael Yitzchak Bankier

Revision Questions

נדרים זי:וי טי:גי

- With what wording of a *neder* forbidding a fruit, would one also be prohibited from the money as a result of its sale or future trees that grow from the seeds of the fruit? ('1: '1)
- Give another example that shares the same law as the previous question? (7:17)
- What is the difference between the following two *nedarim*: ('n: '1)

יישאת עושה איני אוכל עד הפסחיי יישאת עושה עד הפסח איני אוכליי

- What is the law regarding the following *neder* after *pesach*: (יי.טי)

 "שאת נהנית לי עד הפסח אם תלכי לבית אביד עד החג"
- When does a *neder* end if it is made for: (ח': אי)
 - o A day?
 - o This day?
 - o A week?
 - o This week?
 - o A month?
 - o This month?
- With what wording of a *neder* is the end of the *neder* the beginning of *Pesach*?
 Is the end of *Pesach*? ('מ': ב')
- When does a *neder* end if it is made to apply until the summer? (מי:גגי)
- Complete the following principle: (ח':ג'י)
 ואמר עד שיגיע אסור עד שיגיע אמר עד שיהא אסור עד שיצא ______

״כל _____ ואמר עד שיגיע אסור עד שיגיע אמר עד שיהא אסור עד שיצא ל _____ בין אמר עד שיהא בין אמר עד שיגיע אינו אסור אל עד ____י

- What is the indication
 - o that summer has began?
 - o that summer has ended?
 - o of the beginning of the harvest season? (ח':די)
 - o of the beginning of the rainy season? (ח': ה'י)
- When is the end of the rainy season? (ח': ה')
- If one made a *neder* till the end of *Adar* without knowing that the year was a leap year, when does the *neder* end? (n': r)
- When does a *neder* against eating meat end if it was made until the fast of *Yom Kippur*? (יו: יח)
- Give an example where a person can effectively undo a *neder* affecting them, that was made by someone else. ('7: '7)
- What does *R' Eliezer* argue can be used to undo a *neder* and in what case do the *Chachamim* agree? (טי:אי)
- Can circumstances that occur after a *neder* is made be used as considerations to undo a *neder*? (Provide some examples that were given in the *Mishnah*) (20: 12)
- Provide an example of a case where *R' Meir* maintains it is like *nolad* but does not share the same law as *nolad*. (2): (2)

Local Shiurim

Melbourne, Australia

Sunday -Thursday 10 minutes before *Mincha* <u>Mizrachi Shul</u> Melbourne, Australia

Friday & Shabbat

10 minutes before *Mincha*Beit Ha'Roeh
Melbourne, Australia

Efrat, Israel

Shiur in English

Sunday -Thursday

Rabbi Mordechai Scharf 9:00am Kollel Magen Avraham Reemon Neighbourhood

ONLINE SHIURIM

Rabbi Chaim Brown www.shemayisrael.com/mishna/

> Rav Meir Pogrow 613.org/mishnah.html

Rabbi E. Kornfeld Rabbi C. Brown http://www.dafyomi.co.il/calend ars/myomi/myomi-thisweek.htm

SHIUR ON KOL HALOSHON

Rabbi Moshe Meir Weiss In US dial: 718 906 6400 Then select: 1 – 2 – 4

Next Week's Mishnayot...

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	שבת קודש
30 th September ייד תשרי	1 st October טייו תשרי	2 nd October טייז תשרי	3 rd October ייז תשרי	4 th October ייח תשרי	5 th October ייט תשרי	6 th October כי תשרי
Nedarim 9:4-5	Nedarim 9:6-7	Nedarim 9:8-9	Nedarim 9:10- 10:1	Nedarim 10:2-3	Nedarim 10:4-5	Nedarim 10:6-7