

Volume 8, Issue 7

Constructive Tearing

The *Mishnah* (13:3) in *Masechet Shabbos* describes the *melecha* of tearing on *Shabbos*. The *Mishnah* states that one would be *patur* for tearing on *Shabbos* if one did so out of anger, or for someone who died or any other destructive purpose. The implication in the *Mishnah* is that if one tore for a constructive purpose then one would be liable for a *chatas* offering.

The phrase that the *Mishnah* uses to describe the tearing for mourning is quite intriguing. The Mishnah specifically refers to meto (literally: his dead). The understanding from the literal reading of the text is that if one makes a tear for someone he is obligated to mourn for, then he would be exempt. This seems counter intuitive considering that making this tear would be a constructive action as one is obligated to perform tearing on the loss of a close relative. Bartenura therefore interprets the Mishnah to refer specifically to someone he is not obligated to tear for. This would then make the act purely destructive for which one is exempt for doing the action on *Shabbos*. This interpretation is difficult, however, considering the specific language used in the Mishnah. It is for this reason, that the Tifferes Yisrael adds a comment in his explanation of the Mishnah and interprets the word 'meto' to refer to 'tsa'aro' (his pain) the pain that a person feels when someone close to him (although someone whom he is not obligated to tear for) passes away.

Prima facie, tearing (except for the case where one tears in order to sew) seems to be a destructive act. What possible constructive benefit can come from this tearing over a close relative? The Rambam writes that when one tears his clothing over someone who has passed away, it has a calming effect on his mind and settles one's anger. The Tosfot Yom Tov seems to take issue with this interpretation for two reasons. Firstly, we learn in Masechet Brachot, that one must bless *Hashem* for the bad, just as one would do so for the good. The Mefarshim explain this as meaning that one must therefore accept all that happens with simcha and without getting angry. This seems to be in contradiction to our Mishnah which states that one tears in order to control anger! The second issue, is that if tearing is done in order to settle one's anger there should be no distinction regarding whether the deceased was a close relative (and is considered constructive/chayav) or not (deemed destructive /patur).

The *Tosfot Yom Tov* answers the first issue by saying that there is no contradiction between the statements. The *Gemara* in *Shabbos* (105b) corroborates the statement forbidding one from getting angry, as it likens one who gets angry and tears his clothes out of rage to serving idols. However, even though it is forbidden, practically, if one where to do this it would have a calming effect on a person (and would be *assur* on *Shabbos*).

Based on this answer, we are left with the second issue with the *Rambam*, namely, why there is a difference for *chiyuv* if the person was a close relative or not. The *Tosfot Yom Tov* puts forward a challenging suggestion to this issue. The answer is based on the concept that the *Torah* is a blueprint for life and all *mitzvot* are commanded with a profound understanding of the very depth of human emotion. With this in mind, the *Tosfot Yom Tov* states that since the *Torah* does not command one to undertake *aveilus* for those that are not considered close relatives – there is no deep *tza'ar* or anger that needs to be eased through the procedure of rendering ones garment. It is therefore a destructive act, and one who undertakes it is *patur*.

This answer does not seem to sit well with the Tosfot Yom Tov. Firstly, he does not think that one's anger would subside by the tearing, from the fact that this concept of tearing out of anger seems to contradict the Mishnah in Berachot of accepting the good along with the bad is difficult. Secondly Tosfot Yom Tov asks that a simpler solution would have been that the simply performing the mitzvah of tearing for a close relative itself is a constructive. The Ri (Tosafot Shabbos 105B) answers this point by stating that tearing for a mitzvah is not considered a constructive action unless another improvement is done beside the mitzvah. Consequently in this case you are being constructive for as you do the mitzvah of tearing, you are also calming yourself. It is not clear from here whether the Tosafot would also make someone who is not obligated to tear chayav for doing so on Shabbos.

Therefore, the *Tosfot Yom Tov* explains that in general the opinion of the *Mishnah* is that the tearing was not instituted in order to appease one's anger, but rather should be performed with 'tov-lev' (pleasantness of heart) however difficult the emotional circumstance may be. However, he mentions that as a side benefit the reality of the situation is that one's anger would be subsided by doing this action and is therefore considered a constructive act.

Yehuda Gottlieb

Revision Questions

שבת יייב:די-יייד: שבת

- Explain the debate regarding whether one is *chayav* from scratching letters into their skin? (ידב: לייב)
- Provide a definition of the *melacha* of *kotev* (writing). (יייב:די-הי)
- Is one *chayav* if they wrote letters in sand? (יייב:היי)
- Is one *chayav* if they wrote over existing letters? (יייב:היי)
- Explain the debate regarding one who wrote one letter in the morning and another in the afternoon? (יו:ביי)
- When would one be *chayav* for *oreg?* (''')
- How many stitches are performed before one is *chayav?* (ייג:ביי)
- Is one *chayav* if they tore something out of anger? ('ג': ג'י)
- How much of a thread must one dye to perform the melacha of tzove'ah? (ייג:די)
- Which other three *melachot* share the same *shiur* described in the previous question? ("": "")
- Other than literally trapping a deer, when would one have transgressed the *melacha* of *tzad* (hunting)? (Include all three opinions.) (ייג:היי)
- If a deer wandered into a house, and one then closes the front door trapping it in the house, have they performed the *melacha* of *tzad*? ('1: '1')
- Regarding the previous question, if two people closed the door, when would they be *chayav*? (יוג: וויג: וייג)
- If a deer enters a house and one person stands in the doorway and does not completely cover the entire entrance, and then another person stands next to him covering the entire entrance, who is *chayav*? (τ: χ:ν)
- If a deer enters a house and one person stands in the doorway and completely covers the entire entrance, and then another person stands next to him; then the first person leaves, leaving the second covering the entire entrance, who is *chayav*? (τ:λ")
- When is one *chayav* for killing a creepy-crawly? (יייד:איי)
- Is one *chayav* for trapping a domesticated animal? (יייד:איי)
- What is *hilmi* and why can't one prepare it on *Shabbat*? (ייד:ביי)
- What is the general principle set out in the *Mishnah* regarding eating foods that have medicinal properties? (יגיד:גיי)
- What advice does the *Mishnah* give to one who has a toothache on *Shabbat*? (יד: דיי)

Local Shiurim

Melbourne, Australia

Sunday - Thursday

After *Ma'ariv*<u>Mizrachi Shul</u>

Melbourne, Australia

Friday & Shabbat

10 minutes before *Mincha*Beit Ha'Roeh
Melbourne, Australia

Efrat, Israel

Shiur in English

Sunday -Thursday

Rabbi Mordechai Scharf 9:00am Kollel Magen Avraham Reemon Neighbourhood

ONLINE SHIURIM

Rabbi Chaim Brown www.shemayisrael.com/mishna/

> Rav Meir Pogrow 613.org/mishnah.html

Rabbi E. Kornfeld Rabbi C. Brown http://www.dafyomi.co.il/calend ars/myomi/myomi-thisweek.htm

SHIUR ON KOL HALOSHON

Rabbi Moshe Meir Weiss In US dial: 718 906 6400 Then select: 1 – 2 – 4

Next Week's Mishnayot...

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	שבת קודש
10 th July ח׳ תמוז	11 th July טי תמוז	12 th July יי תמוז	13 th July ייא תמוז	14 th July ייב תמוז	15 th July ייג תמוז	16 th July יייד תמוז
Shabbat 15:1-2	Shabbat 15:3- 16:1	Shabbat 16:2-3	Shabbat 16:4-5	Shabbat 16:6-7	Shabbat 16:8- 17:1	Shabbat 17:2-3