

Volume 7, Issue 7

# Leket Mixed in a Pile of Tevel

This week we began to look more closely at the *leket* – the obligation to leave the individual stalks that have fallen during harvest, for the poor. As with the other matanot aniyim about which we have learnt, leket becomes the property of the poor and is exempt from separating trumot and ma'asrot (ma'asort for short). An issue that the Mishnah (5:2) deals with is if one stalk of leket becomes mixed into a pile that belongs to the owner. Clearly the owner must provide the ani (poor person) with a replacement. The difficulty is that the ani is due a stalk that is exempt from separating trumot and ma'asrot. Randomly selecting a replacement stalk would not satisfy as the obligation of separating ma'asrot would apply (unless the original leket was selected). The Mishnah explains that one must take one stalk, designate a place from which its ma'asrot will be separated and then hand the ani that stalk. What does this mean?

A word of introduction is required. One is allowed to separate ma'asrot from one pile to satisfy the requirement of another. The condition is however, that both piles are tevel - bother require ma'asrot to be separated. The Bartenura, citing the Yerushalmi, explains that the owner separates two stalks (A and B) and declares: If A is the original leket then fine, if however it is not, then I designate that its ma'asrot will be separated from stalk B. The intention is that he will give the ani stalk A. The difficult then faced is that if stalk B is the original leket, his original declaration is invalid - one is not allowed to designate that the ma'asrot will be separated from something that is exempt. Consequently, he takes a third stalk (C) and declares: If B is the original leket, then I designate that A's ma'asrot will be separated from stalk C. Since there was only one stalk of leket he can then safely give the ani stalk A which will be exempt from ma'asrot.

The Rambam (Matanot Aniyim 4:10) however explains the solution as follows: "...he must separate <u>two</u> stalks, and declare on one that if it is *leket* it is for the poor, and if it is not then its ma'asorot are fixed in the second stalk. He then returns and makes a condition on the second one and then gives one to the ani and the other is ma'asrot." The Kesef

*Mishnah* questions if anything is being achieved by this solution. Recall that cross designation only works if they are both *tevel*. If one is *leket* then the second will remain *tevel* and there is a risk that that one will be given to the *ani*. How do we explain *Rambam*'s solution?

The *Kesef Mishnah* explains that when the *Rambam* states "and return and make a condition on the second" it is not exactly the same as the first condition, instead he states "and if the second stalk is *leket* then that is fine." In other words at the end he is left with one stalk that is *chulin* and another with *ma'asrot*. Since we are not sure which one is *chulin*, he gives both to the *ani*. The *ani* will then sell both to the *kohen* for the price of one, i.e., the *chulin* one that belongs to him.

The Tifferet Yisrael (Boaz 3) notes that leket has no sanctity. Consequently the leket that was mixed in should be considered annulled in the majority that outweighed it. So why are we bothering with all these complex condition; simply pay the ani the value of the leket! He answers that the solution must only be in a case where providing him money is not an option. Either money is not readily available when the ani is present or the ani specifically requires these stalks and does not want to be bothered with going elsewhere to purchase a replacement. With this in mind however, the Tifferet Yisrael has a difficulty with the Kesef Mishnah's explanation of the Rambam. According to his answer, the ani has to take both stalks and find a kohen to sell them to and then presumably take that money to buy the food he requires. Surely it would be easier if the owner give him money straight away.

The *Mishnah Rishona* suggests a different explanation of the *Rambam*. Each time the owner takes one we can apply the principle "if something is separated we can assume it came from the majority". Consequently, two stalks are enough, and he can separate from one to the other assuming they are both *tevel*. The *Mishna Rishona* however admits that according to this understanding, the *Rambam* should not required a second stipulation as he specifies. <sup>1</sup>

Yisrael Yitzchak Bankier

between the *Tana Kama* and *R' Eliezer*; how the owner should separate *ma'asrot* from <u>his</u> pile that might still have *leket* mixed in with it; etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See also the *Tosfot Anshei Shem's* explanation of the *Rambam*. Note that many other issues in this *Mishnah* have not been addressed: The debate

### **Revision Questions**

פאה די:וי – הי:חי

- Is a non-Jew who converts to Judaism after harvesting his field obligated to leave peah, leket or shichecha? ('1:'7')
- In what situation would someone who sanctified their field and then redeemed it from *hekdesh* be exempt from leaving *peah*? ('7: '7')
- In what situation would someone who sanctified their fruit and then redeemed it from hekdesh be exempt from taking ma'asrot? (די: יחי)
- Explain the debate about whether a wealthy person can be *zoche peah* for a poor person. ('υ: 'ʊ')
- When is one exempt from taking *ma'asrot* from the *leket*, *shichecha* and *peah* of a non-Jew? (יני:טי)
- What is *leket* (be specific)? Explain the debate about *rosh ha'yad* and *rosh ha'magal*. (2:77)
- Is it *leket* if the owner drops the produce as a result of being pricked by a thorn? ('2: '7)
- When does produce found in ant holes belong to the owner? When does it belong to
  the poor (and how much)? (די: ינייא)
- What is the law regarding:
  - A pile of produce placed in a location from which *leket* has not yet been collected? (הי:איז)
  - A case where the wind has scatter the collected produce over an area from which leket has not been collected? (הי:איז)
  - A case where one ear of corn which is *leket* gets mixed up in a pile of corn?
     (הלי:בי)
- When would a single standing ear of corn belong to the owner of the field and when would it belong to the poor? (הי:בי)
- Explain the debate regarding watering one's field prior to *leket* being collected. (ה':ג'י)
- If a wealthy person who during his travels ran out of money and was forced to eat from *leket*, *shichecha*, *peah* or *ma'aser ani* what should he do when gets home? (Explain the debate) (ה':די)
- What must be done to enable the owner of a field to exchange regular produce with a poor person's produce (which were *matanot ani'im*)? (ה'י:ה'י)
- If a poor person is hired to reap a field, what are the two cases where may he take *leket*, *shichecha* and *peah*? Which of the two cases can he take *ma'aser ani*? (ה':ה')
- If a poor owner sells his field to another poor person can either of them now take the *matanot ani'im?* (יז: יח)
- Can a person hire someone a worker <u>on the condition</u> that his son collects the fallen ears of corn after him? (יז: יור)
- What *pasuk* does the *Mishnah* cite when describing one who prevents the poor from collecting *leket* as stealing? (הי: יה)
- If either the owner of the field or a worker (but not both) forgot sheafs in the field is it considered *shichecha*? (הי:יז)
- If a poor person hid a sheaf from the owner causing him to leave it behind, is it shichecha? (הי: די)
- Is it considered *shichecha* if someone forgot a sheaf when: (הי: ח')
  - Occleating them to make other sheaf structures?
  - o Collecting them to make piles?
  - Transferring the sheaves directly to the threshing floor?

## **Local Shiurim**

## **Sunday - Thursday**

After *Ma'ariv*<u>Mizrachi Shul</u>

Melbourne, Australia

#### Friday & Shabbat

10 minutes before *Mincha*<u>Beit Ha'Roeh</u>
Melbourne, Australia

#### **ONLINE SHIURIM**

Rabbi Chaim Brown www.shemayisrael.com/mishna/

> Rav Meir Pogrow 613.org/mishnah.html

#### SHIUR ON KOL HALOSHON

Rabbi Moshe Meir Weiss In US dial: 718 906 6400 Then select: 1 – 2 – 4

### Next Week's Mishnayot...

| Sunday                               | Monday                              | Tuesday                             | Wednesday                            | Thursday                             | Friday                               | שבת קודש                            |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 22 <sup>th</sup> August<br>יייב אלול | 23 <sup>th</sup> August<br>ייג אלול | 24 <sup>th</sup> August<br>ייד אלול | 25 <sup>th</sup> August<br>טייו אלול | 26 <sup>th</sup> August<br>טייז אלול | 27 <sup>th</sup> August<br>יייז אלול | 28 <sup>th</sup> August<br>ייח אלול |
| Peah 6:1-2                           | Peah 6:3-4                          | Peah 6:5-6                          | Peah 6:7-8                           | Peah 6:9-10                          | Peah 6:11-7:1                        | Peah 7:2-3                          |