Volume 7. Issue 12

# Potted Plants and Ma'asrot

The *Mishnah* (5:10) teaches that if produce grows in a pot, provided that the pot has a hole at the base (*atzitz nakuv*), it is no different to the produce that grew in the ground – there is a biblical obligation to separate *trumot u'ma'asrot*. If however there is no hole in the base of the pot (*atzitz she'eino nakuv*), then there is a rabbinic obligation to separate *trumot u'ma'asrot*.

One difficultly arises when trying to separate *trumah* from produce that grew in an *atzitz she'eino nakuv* to satisfy the quantity required for separating from produce that grew in *atzitz nakuv*. The reason is that one cannot separate *trumah* from produce that is exempt from any tithing (*chulin*) for produce that requires it. This case would be violating this rule because on a biblical level the *atzitz she'eino nakuv* is exempt. The *Mishnah* rules that what is separated is treated as *trumah*. Nevertheless, because such a separation is invalid, *trumah* must still be separated for the produce that grew in the *atzitz nakuv*.<sup>1</sup>

The second case brought is where one separates from an *atzitz nakuv* to satisfy for an *atzitz she'eino nakuv* as well. One a biblical level, this is similar to case where one tries to separate from produce that is *chayav* for other produce that is exempt for *trumot*. Consequently the "*trumah*" is really *tevel* as the separation was meaningless on a biblical level. In that case, even though it is given to the *kohen*, *trumat* and *ma'asrot* would need to be separated before the *kohen* can eat it.<sup>2</sup>

The *Tosfot* (*Yevamot* 89b) bring a debate regarding that which was handed to the *kohen* in this second

case. The *Rivan* understands that is not *trumah* at all – it is *tevel*! The *Mishnah* refers to it as being *trumah* simply because it belongs to the *kohen*. The *Ri* however understand that it must be treated as being *trumah mi'd'rabbanan* because on a rabbinic level it nevertheless satisfies the requirement for separating *trumah* for the *atzitz she'eino nakuv* (albeit on a rabbinic level).

The point made by the *Ri* leads us into a discussion regarding the status of the *atzitz she'eino nakuv* for which the *trumah* was separated. *Rashi* (*Yevamot* 89b) explains that since the obligation to separate from it was *d'rabbanan* (rabbinic) and *trumah* was separated (from the *atzitz nakuv*) nothing further is required. This fits with the understanding of the *Ri*. How would the *Rivash* then view the remaining produce from the *atzitz she'eino nakuv*?

There are two understandings brought by the *Meiri* (*Kidushin* 46b) that can possibly be applied to the *Rivash.*<sup>3</sup> The first is that the separation was not effective for the *atzitz she'eino nakuv* either and *trumah* would also need to be separated from it. Nothing was achieved. The second understanding is that even though that which is handed to the *kohen* is not even *trumah mi'd'rabbanan*, because the requirement to separate *trumah* was instituted by the *Rabbanan*, they did not require any further separation in this case.

#### Yisrael Yitzchak Bankier

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> If the original separation is meaningly why then is it treated as *trumah*? The *Bartenura* explains that this a *gezeira* to prevent people for treating *trumah* lightly. "Now it is *trumah*; now it is not." R' Akiva Eiger asks that there is a simpler reason: the separation was affective for the produce *atzitz she'eino nakuv* so it should be considered *trumah* on a rabbinic level. He suggest that since the separation the original separation was with the intent that it would be affect for the *atzitz nakuv* as well and this fail, that *hafrasha* is not affective even for the *atzitz she'eino nakuv*.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Whether *trumot* and *ma'asrot* are separated from that which is given to the *kohen* or from some other *tevel* to satisfy the requirement is a subject of debate. See the commentaries on the *Mishnah*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This is based on the understanding presented in *Yalkut Biurim*, *Yevamot* 89b, footnote 7, *Metivta*.

# **Revision Questions**

דמאי הי:זי – וי:טי

- Can one tithe from one group of vegetables for another if they were purchased at different times from:
  - A private owner (ba'al ha'bait) selling from home? (יהי: זי)
  - o A *ba'al ha'bait* selling at the market (include both cases)? ((ר: :۱)
- If someone purchased *tevel* from two different people can be tithe from one for the other? (הי: רֹי)
- Can one tithe from produce purchased from a *Nochri* for produce belonging to a *Yisrael*? Purchased from a *Kuti* for produce belonging to a *Yisrael*? ('σ': 'σ')
- What is the status of produce that has grown in a pot that has a hole in the bottom (*atzitz nakuv*)? (הי: יי)
- What is the law if someone tithes: (הי: יייא)
  - From *demai* for other *demai* produce?
  - From *demai* for *tevel* produce?
  - From *tevel* for demai *produce*?
- What is an aris? What is a choker? (וי:אי)
- What must an *aris* and *choker* do before giving the produce to the owner of the field? (אי: אי)
- What is the difference in the responsibilities of a *choker* in the field belonging to an *Yisrael* and a *Nochri?* (*r*: ב*r*)
- Explain the debate regarding a *kohen* or *levi* who is an *aris*. (ν: κ')
- What is the law regarding *ma'aser sheni* from produce collected by an *aris* who lives outside Jerusalem from a field belonging to a *Yerushalmi?* ('T: ')
- What is the law regarding the *ma'asrot* from produce collected by an *aris Yisrael* from a field belonging to a *kohen* or *levi*? ('7:')
- Does the previous law differ when the *aris* is collecting olives and making oil?
  (r::r)
- Explain the debate between *Beit Shammai* and *Beit Hillel* regarding the restriction on the sale of olives. ('1: '1)
- What must one do when sharing a wine press with someone who is not believed with respect to separating ma'asrot? ('i: 'i)
- In what situation is one allowed to join in partnership or joint-*arisut* with someone who is not believed with respect to separating *ma'asrot*? (':: ח')
- Does the previous law differ if the two parties inherited a property? ('U: ')

## Local Shiurim

Sunday -Thursday After *Ma'ariv* <u>Mizrachi Shul</u> Melbourne, Australia

Friday & Shabbat 10 minutes before *Mincha* <u>Beit Ha'Roeh</u> Melbourne, Australia

### ONLINE SHIURIM

Rabbi Chaim Brown www.shemayisrael.com/mishna/

*Rav Meir Pogrow* 613.org/mishnah.html

Rabbi E. Kornfeld Rabbi C. Brown http://www.dafyomi.co.il/calend ars/myomi/myomi-thisweek.htm

#### SHIUR ON KOL HALOSHON

Rabbi Moshe Meir Weiss In US dial: 718 906 6400 Then select: 1 - 2 - 4

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	שבת קודש
26 <sup>th</sup> September י״ח תשרי	27 <sup>th</sup> September יייט תשרי	28 <sup>th</sup> September כי תשרי	29 <sup>th</sup> September כ״א אלול	30 <sup>th</sup> September כ״ב תשרי <b>שמיני עצרת</b>	1 <sup>st</sup> October כ״ג תשרי שמחת תורה	2 <sup>nd</sup> October כייד תשרי
Demai 6:10-11	Demai 6:12-7:1	Demai 7:2-3	Demai 7:4-5	Demai 7:6-7	Demai 7:8 – <b>Kilayim 1:1</b>	Kilayim 1:2-3

# Next Week's Mishnayot...