

Volume 2. Issue 14.

Accurate Measurements

The *Mishnayot* (5:4-5) set down some rules for measuring the 2000 *amot* of the *techum* (the boundary beyond which a person may not travel on *Shabbat* - approximately 1.1-1.2 kilometres). *Rambam* explains in his commentary to the *Mishnah* that every measurement has some degree of inaccuracy. The *Chachamim* enacted a number of detailed rules to ensure that the *techum* is measured in such a way so as to minimise this inherent inaccuracy.

There is an interesting tension between the *Chachamim's* desire to make the measurement as accurate as possible and the various constraints and practicalities within which the Chachamim operate and which reduce the accuracy of the measurement. For example, the Shulchan Aruch (399:1) rules that the *techum* should only be measured with a rope made of linen. The Chachamim have a tradition to this effect which is supported by a reference in *Yechezkel* (40:3) to linen rope being used for measuring. The Gemara (Eiruvin 58a) quotes a Baraisa explaining that iron chains are actually the most suitable for measuring because they do not stretch. However, as the Baraisa explains, the Chachamim are constrained by the Torah's preference for rope. Some commentators (including the Meiri) hold that metal chains can actually be used, although as stated above, this is not the view of the Shulchan Aruch.

Being restricted to linen rope, the *Chachamim* have sought to minimise the inaccuracy of the measurement by various rulings. For example, the size of the rope used to measure the *Techum* is restricted to 50 *amot*. Any longer than this and the rope will sag; any shorter and it will stretch. The *Shulchan Aruch* (399:3) rules that the surveyors must pull the rope with all their might when performing the measurement. The *Mishnah Berurah* explains that otherwise the rope will be weighed down in the middle and the measurement will therefore be inaccurate. Furthermore, the *Mishnah Berurah* (399:7) rules that the surveyors must be experts who are familiar with the laws concerning the measuring of the *techum*.

The *Chachamim* have also allowed a number of leniencies on the basis of practicalities. For example, the *Ritva* (5:4) notes that the most exact way to measure the *techum* is to lie the rope down on the ground. However this is physically difficult for the surveyors to do. Therefore the *Chachamim* allowed the surveyors to hold the rope at chest level, even

though the accuracy of the measurement will thereby suffer. Designating a standard body part ensures that the rope is kept horizontal to the ground ensuring a more consistent measurement. As a practical measure, the *Chachamim* did not take into account differences in height of the surveyors and assumed that the surveyors will be of average height.

Further examples of leniencies allowed by the *Chachamim* are allowing surveyors to effectively ignore mountains (5:4) and believing a single witness, even if that witness is a slave, in matters relating to the location of the *techum* (5:5).

Previously (4:11) we saw that that by following the method prescribed by the *Chachamim*, the surveyors actually end up 15 *amot* short of 2000 (due to the length of rope that is held in their hands while measuring - see *Rashi Eiruvin* 52b). This is a built-in buffer to allow people who accidentally leave the *Techum* to return.

As we saw in last week's *Mishnah Yomit*, the laws relating to the 2000 *amot techum* are *d'rabbanan*. The *Chachamim* did not make them subject to all of the stringencies that apply to *d'oraita* laws and they enacted the laws with a provision that they be treated leniently (*Rashi Eiruvin* 58b). The *Chachamim* are still concerned that the 2000 *amot* be measured as accurately as possible but as we saw above they included certain leniencies where they deemed them to be appropriate.

Of course, in some cases it is not possible to accurately measure the 2000 techum border. For example, the Mishnah (4:4) discussed the case of a person who was in the middle of a journey when Shabbat was about to begin. This person's techum is generally measured from their place at the onset of Shabbat. Obviously in these circumstances this person's techum could not be measured accurately in advance before Shabbat. The Chachamim allowed such a person to estimate their techum on Shabbat on the basis that one medium stride is approximately equal to one amah. The Biur Halacha (399:1) explains that one must not measure out the full 2000 footsteps to allow for the fact that each footstep may actually be longer than one amah. This is another example of the tension between practicalities and measuring the techum accurately.

Alon Ledder

Revision Questions

יז: עירובין הי :גי – וי :זי

- What is the maximum space between three cities placed in a triangular formation such that they can be considered one city (הי:גיי)
- How long was the measuring tape used to measure the *techum Shabbat*? (הי: די)
- Was the measuring tape placed on the floor, held at chest level or above their heads? (הי:די)
- When measuring, what would they do when they came to a hill? (הי:די)
- Who was trusted with measuring out the *techum Shabbat*? (ה': ה'י)
- If one of two measurements on one side was greater than the other, which measurement was used? (הי:היי)
- What is the difference between a *shituf* performed on a city of a *yachid* and a city of the *rabbim?* (הי:רי)
- What is the law regarding a city of a yachid that became a city of the rabbim?
 ('ח': יח')
- If one sent his son to place an *eiruv techum* in the West of the city, but he was in the East of the city (at a distance of greater than 2000 *amot* from the *eiruv techum*) at the onset of *Shabbat*, where can he walk on *Shabbat*? (r::r)
- Does an *eiruv techum* work if it is placed in the *ibur* of the city? (הי:די)
- Explain the debate regarding the case where two cities (large and small) were close to each other such that that part of the large one was inside the techum of the other and a citizen of the small city placed his *eiruv techum* inside the large city how far can he walk? ('\text{n'}: '\text{n'})
- Which other case resembles the debate described in the previous question? (הי:טי)
- Explain the debate regarding when the presence of a *goi* can affect an *eiruv* chatzeirot? (י:אי)
- Explain the debate regarding how a tzaduki can affect an eiruv chatzeirot? (":בי)
- If one of the members of a *chatzer* forgot to join in the *eiruv chatzeirot* yet was *mevatel reshut* in the *chatzer* where can each of the members of the *chatzer* transfer objects? ('): '1)
- Regarding the previous question, what if he was not *mevatel reshut* but the rest of the residents were *mevatel reshut* in the *chatzer*? (γ: γ)
- Explain the debate regarding the latest time when one can *mevatel reshut*? ('T:')
- Explain the debate regarding a case where one was *mevatel reshut* yet inadvertently carried something into the *chatzer*? ('n: 'n')
- In which case do *Beit Shammai* and *Beit Hillel* disagree regarding an *eiruv* chatzeirot for people living in the same building and when do they agree? ('1: '1)
- If two brothers live in different apartments in an apartment block, yet are still supported by their father, when do they need to each provide bread for the *eiruv* chatzeirot and when can one suffice for them both? ('7:'1)

www.mishnahyomit.com

Local Shiurim

Sunday -Thursday Between mincha & ma'ariv Mizrachi Shul

Friday & Shabbat 10 minutes before mincha Mizrachi Shul

Audio Shiurim on-line!

- 613.org/mishnah.html
- www.shemayisrael.com/ mishna/

Need help visualising the *Mishnayot*?

Get the learning aids at:

www. mishnah yomit .com

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	שבת קודש
4 th December ג' כסלו	5 th December די כסלו	6 th December הי כסלו	7 th December וי כסלו	8 th December זי כטלו	9 th December חי כסלו	10 th December טי כסלו
Eiruvin 6:8-9	Eiruvin 6:10- 7:1	Eiruvin 7:2-3	Eiruvin 7:4-5	Eiruvin 7:6-7	Eiruvin 7:8-9	Eiruvin 7:10-11

Next Week's Mishnayot...