

Volume 21 Issue 14

## On Condition: No Nedgrim

The *Mishnah* (7:7) teaches that if a man performs *kiddushin* on condition that the woman does not have any *nedarim* and it is discovered later that she had *nedarim*, then the *kiddushin* is null and void. The *Bartenura* explains that the *Mishnah* is referring to specific *nedarim* that cause her great discomfort. It is those *nedarim* that impact the way he would then relate to her, that are considered significant in this context.<sup>1</sup>

The *Gemara* (74b) however teaches that if the woman went to a *Chacham* to *matir* (undo) the *neder* then the *kiddushin* would work.

The Ritva however cites the question raised by the Yerushalmi. According to our Mishnah she should be able to go and marry someone else without a get. If we consider our Gemara however, there is reason for concern. It is possible that she could later matir then neder, which would mean that she is retroactively had no *nederim* at the time of the first kiddushin. This would mean she is married to the first man and her children from the second man would then be mamzerim. There are two answers brought in the Yerushalmi. The first is that even though according to the Mishnah she does not need a get, she would need a get from the first man if she wanted to marry someone else, thus preventing this problem. The second answer however is that she can marry without a get. We need not be concerned that she would matir the neder if we inform her of the consequences of doing so.

The *Ritva* comments that since the *Gemara* makes no mention of the concern in the *Yerushalmi*, it must mean that once she is allowed to marry someone else, it is considered like a *get* and the first "*kiddushin*" would never take hold even if she was *matir* the *neder*. Nevertheless, the *Ritva* rules that practically one should still be concerned from the position of the *Yerushalmi*.

The *Tosfot* (74b *s.v. Chacham*) however notes that this law is taught alongside another one. We learn that if the husband performed *kiddushin* on condition that he or she had no *mumim* (physical blemishes) and it is discovered that she had *mumim* then the *kiddushin* is similarly void. If he went to a doctor and was healed, then it would be valid. From the case of *mumim* we see that it is not important what the legal status of the *neder* was at the time of *kiddushin*. In other words, it is true when a *Chachamim* is *matir* a *neder* it makes it as if there was a never a *neder*. Nevertheless, when the doctor healed his *mumim*, it does not change the fact that the *mumim* were present at the time of *kiddushin* – yet the *kiddushin* is valid. Instead, the issue is *kepeid* – it is a matter that would bother him.

Based on this understanding, the *Tosfot* explains the ability to salvage the kiddushin by her being matir the neder would only work before he learnt about the *nedarim*. If however he already learnt about the *neder* then it is too late. The *Tosfot* explains that this is nature of the tenai (condition) when he perform the kiddushin. As explained it is not related to what the status was at the time of kiddushin. Instead, it related to his kepeida. In other words, the kiddushin hinged on his reaction to learning about the nedarim. If she annulled the nedarim prior to him learning about them, then there is no reaction, they do not exist. If however he first learns of the nedarim, the condition is immediately violated. There is a kepeid because perhaps the nedarim might not be able to be undone. Consequently, even if she was matir the nedarim later, it would be too late, given that the reaction when learning of the *nedarim* already annulled the *kiddushin*.

The Ran (35a, Rif) notes that the position of the Tosfot is not consistent with the Yerushalmi, since the Yerushalmi addresses the concern that she might undo the neder after she married someone else.<sup>2</sup>

Yisrael Bankier

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The *Rambam* limits this to three specific *nedarim*: not eating meat, not drinking wine and not wear nice (colourful) clothing.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Interestingly however the *Rosh* present the same position as the *Tosfot* yet also cites the *Yerushalmi*.

#### **Revision Questions**

כתובות וי:אי – זי:זי

- Does a husband have any right to the property a wife inherits? (יי:אי)
- How does *R' Yehuda ben Beteira* divide *boshet* and *pegam* between a husband and wife? (ני:אי)
- Can a father prevent a dowry from passing on to the *yabam*? (*'*: *ב'*)
- Compared to the dowry, what value is written in to the *ketubah* and in what circumstance does this calculation differ? (*'*: *''*)
- What else does the husband add into the *ketubah* as a proportion of the dowry?
   ('τ: '1)
- If the father did explicitly state the value of the dowry, what is the minimum value he must provide? ((י:הי))
- What are the two opinions regarding the following case: the first daughter got married and the father gave her a particular dowry, yet when it came time for the second daughter to marry, the father had already passed away what is the value of her dowry that is taken from the *yerusha?* ('1:'1)
- Explain the debate regarding whether an orphaned woman can tell the executor of the *yerusha* responsible for her welfare, to hand over control to her *erus*? ('7: '1)
- Regarding the previous question, when is there no debate? ('1: '1')
- What are the two opinions regarding the time until a husband must divorce his wife if he made a vow preventing her from benefiting from his property? ('N: 'Y)
- What are the two opinions regarding the time until a husband must divorce his
  wife if he upheld her vow preventing her from benefiting from his property?
  ('2: ב')
- What are the two opinions regarding the time until a husband must divorce his wife if he upheld her vow preventing her from adorning herself and why? (3:17)
- How much time is it until a husband must divorce his wife if he upheld her vow preventing her from visiting her father? (Include two cases.) (7: '7)
- Why must a husband divorce his wife if he upheld her vow not to go to a *beit avel* or *beit mishteh*? What is the exception to this rule? (יה:יהי)
- When does a women lose here *ketubah*? (יז: 'ז')
- What is *dat moshe*? (ז': 'נ')
- What is dat vehudit? (ז': 'נ')
- What is a *kolanit*? (יז: יו')
- Which *mumin* are problematic if they were concealed from the husband prior to marriage? ('7:'7)

### Shiurim

#### ONLINE SHIURIM

Yisrael Bankier mishnahyomit.com/shiurim

> Rabbi Reuven Spolter mishnah.co

Rabbi Chaim Brown www.shemayisrael.com/mishna/

Rabbi E. Kornfeld Rabbi C. Brown http://www.dafyomi.co.il/calend ars/myomi/myomi-thisweek.htm

#### APPS

Mishnah Yomit mishnahyomit.com

All Mishnah Orthodox Union

Mishna Yomi Our Somayach, South Africa

Kehati

#### SHIUR ON KOL HALOSHON

Rabbi Moshe Meir Weiss In US dial: 718 906 6400 Then select: 1-2-4

# Next Week's Mishnayot...

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	שבת קודש
28 January ייח שבט	29 January ייט שבט	30 January כי שבט	31 January כייא שבט	1 February כ״ב שבט	2 February כייג שבט	3 February כ״ד שבט
Ketubot 7:8-9	Ketubot 7:10- 8:1	Ketubot 8:2-3	Ketubot 8:4-5	Ketubot 8:6-7	Ketubot 8:8- 9:1	Ketubot 9:2-3