Volume 20 Issue 19



# **Mixtures of Chametz**

The third *perek* opens by listing objects that one is "*ovrim* be'pesach". Exactly what that mean is subject to debate. The list however includes examples of mixtures of *chametz* and *chametz* nuksha (*chametz* not fit for consumption). We shall try to understand this *Mishnah*.

The *Bartenura* understands that the term *ovrim* means the items are *mitbaarim* – must be removed – along with the other *chametz*. The *Bartenura* explains that even though one does not violate the prohibition of having these things in their possession over *pesach*, the *Chachamim* still require their removal.

Rashi (Pesachim 42a) however understands that ovrim means that one violates a biblical prohibition if he finds them in this possession during pesach (baal yireh). The Meiri explains that this would only be the case if there was a kezayit of chametz in the mixture. It is important to note that at the end of the Mishnah we learn that if one eats a food mixed with chametz, even though it is not punishable with karet, they would be liable to lashes. Nevertheless, that is only if the mixture was such that one would eat a kezayit's worth of chametz when eating a pras worth of the mixture. The Meira notes that according to this understanding, even if there was less that that proportion of chametz in the mixture, as long as the mixture contains a kezayit's volume of chametz, one violates the prohibition baal yireh. Why?

The *Meiri* explains that once someone has *chametz* in their possession that is the size of *kezayit*, if they isolated it and ate it, they would liable. Consequently, they violate the prohibition of *baal yireh*. The reason why one is exempt if one consumes a mixture, such that he does not eat a *kezayit*'s worth of *chametz* when eating a *pras* is because he lacks that act of *achila* that would render him liable. In other words, it would be considered as if one ate less than the minimum quantity of *chametz* to render one liable to lashes in multiple sittings. In that case they would not combine to make him liable.

The *Magid Mishnah* (*Chametz UMatzah* 4:8) however understands that according to the *Rambam*, one prohibition is dependent on the other. In other words, if the ratio is such that there is not a *kezayit kedai achilat pras*, then the prohibition of *baal yireh* is not violated. How do we understand that the *Magid Mishnah* considering the *Meiri's* distinction?

The *Grach (Maachalot Asurot* 15:1) asks another question. On the one hand the *Rambam* rules that there is no issue of *baal yireh* if a mixture containing *chametz* is not edible for people. Regarding *chametz* itself the *Rambam* rules that as long as it is edible for animals then one does violate the prohibition. The reason is that that *chametz* can be used as a leavening agent to produce more *chametz*. Surely that logic should also apply to mixtures containing *chametz* also.

The *Grach* answers that there is a difference between pure *chametz* and mixtures containing *chametz*. Regarding *chametz* alone, the consideration is whether it is food. Consequently, if it can affect leavening, it is still food. With respect to mixtures, the question is one of *taam ke'ikar*. In other words, the question is whether the flavour imparted by the mixed in *chametz* is considered like *chametz* itself. If it is not fit for eating, then the law of *taam ke'ikar* does not apply. Consequently, it is as if the *chametz* is not there.

The *Grach* explains that that is why, regarding a mixture, if there is less than a *kezayit kedai achilat pras*, it is prohibited rabbinically. It is not a question of *chatzi shiur* (having less than the minimum to make on liable punishment) but rather that without a *din* (law) of *achila* (eating), *taam ke'ikar* does not apply.

Finally, the *Grach* explains the *Maggid Mishnah* above. One would assume that *baal yireh* has nothing to do with *kezayit kedai achilat pras*. In other words, the issue of having *chametz* in one's position has nothing to do with whether piecemeal consumption of *chametz* combines to make one liable. Nevertheless, as we have seen above that without a *din* of *achila, taam ke'ikar* does not apply. Consequently, if there is less than *kezayit kedai achilat pras* then there is no *taam ke'ikar* and if there is no *taam ke'ikar* then the *chametz* in the mixture is annulled and one would not violate *baal yireh*.

## **Revision Questions**

פסחים בי :בי – גי :זי

- What is the *pasuk* cited as the source for the prohibition in gaining benefit from *chametz* after *Pesach*, that was owned by a Jew during *Pesach*? (בי:בי)
- If an *Yisrael's chametz* was in the property of a *Nochri* as a collateral for a loan during *Pesach*, can be derive benefit from it after *Pesach*? ('2: c')
- What is the criterion that *Rabban Shimon ben Gamliel* gives for whether one must search for *chametz* on top of which rubble has fallen? (בי: גי)
- What must one pay if they ate *trumah chametz* on *Pesach* deliberately? Unintentionally? ('T: 'J)
- Which grains can *matzah* be made out of (for the first *kezayit*)? (בי:הי)
- Can one use *matzah* made from *demai* produce to fulfil his obligation of eating *matzah*? (בי:הי)
- Can the various vegetables listed in the *Mishnah* as suitable for *marror* combine together to make the obligatory *kezayit*? (*μ*: *μ*)
- Can one soak *mursan* in water as food for roosters during *Pesach*? (בי: ז׳)
- Can one chew wheat and then place it on a wound during *Pesach*? (בי:זי)
- Is one allowed to cook the meat of the *korban pesach* in fruit juice? ('c':-c')
- Which of the following must one remove from their house before *Pesach*: (ג׳ אי)
  Beer?
  - Wheat-based (scribe) glue?
- What is the general rule regarding the previous question? (ג׳:א׳)
- What is the law regarding the removal of *chametz* from the cracks in pots? (*x*:: *c*')
- For what other law does the answer to the previous question also apply? (ג׳:ב׳)
- How does one separate *challah* from *tameh* dough during *Pesach*? (Include all three opinions). (ν: ·ν)
- Explain the debate regarding whether many women can knead and bake at the same time sharing the same oven.  $(r_{\tau}; r_{\lambda})$
- What is dough that is *siyur*? (ג׳:הי)
- What is dough that is *siduk*? (ג' :ה')
- What is the punishment if one eats from dough that is *siyur* or dough that is *siduk*?
  (*ν*: *σ*: *σ*)
- Explain the debate regarding when *biur chametz* must be performed if *erev Pesach* fall on *Shabbat*. (*r*): *r*)
- Give one example provided by the *Mishnah* for when one goes to do a particular activity on *erev Pesach* and has not yet perform *biur chametz* and the *halacha* is that he: (1:1)
  - Must return if he has time to come back and complete the activity, otherwise can he can simply perform *bitul chametz*.
  - Must only perform *bitul chametz*.
  - Must return home.

## Shiurim

4"777

### **ONLINE SHIURIM**

*Yisrael Bankier* mishnahyomit.com/shiurim

> Rabbi Reuven Spolter mishnah.co

Rabbi Chaim Brown www.shemayisrael.com/mishna/

Rabbi E. Kornfeld Rabbi C. Brown http://www.dafyomi.co.il/calend ars/myomi/myomi-thisweek.htm

#### APPS

Mishnah Yomit mishnahyomit.com

All Mishnah Orthodox Union

Mishna Yomi Our Somayach, South Africa

Kehati

#### SHIUR ON KOL HALOSHON

Rabbi Moshe Meir Weiss In US dial: 718 906 6400 Then select: 1 - 2 - 4

#### Friday שבת קודש Tuesday Wednesday Thursday Sunday Monday 26 March 27 March 28 March 29 March 30 March 31 March 1 April די ניסן הי ניסן וי ניסן זי ניסן חי ניסן טי ניסן ייניסן Pesachim 4:4-Pesachim 5:3-Pesachim 3:8-Pesachim 4:2-Pesachim 4:6-Pesachim 4:8-Pesachim 5:1-4:13 5

## Next Week's Mishnayot...