

Volume 19 Issue 27

Gmar Melecha in Hafrashat Terumah

If one has two piles of untithed produce, one can separate more *terumot* or *maaserot* from one pile to satisfy the requirements of the other. The *Mishnayot* towards the end of the first *perek* of *terumot* discuss the limits on this process, e.g., they must be both be the same type and both *tevel*. One case the *Mishnah* (1:9) discusses is that one can take *terumah* from oil for olives intended pickling or wine for grapes intended for producing raisins.

The *Tosfot Anshei Shem* notes that it would seem we are dealing with already pickled olives and raisins, that have reached *gmar melacha* (all work complete). The reason is that the end of the *Mishnah* rules that ideally one can only separate from produce that has reached *gmar melacha* for other produce that has also reached that stage. Furthermore, since the *Mishnah* in *Maaserot* (1:9) teaches that *gmar melacha* for raisins is only once they have been formed into a pile, it must be dealing with raisins at that stage. He suggests that that is indeed the understanding of the *Rambam*.

The *Yerushalmi* however understands that the *Mishnah* is referring to olives that will be used for pickling and grapes that will be used for producing raisins. Consequently, the *Gemara* questions why one can separate from the wine for theses grapes, since one should not ideally separate from something that has reached *gmar melacha* for something that has not

The *Gemara* cites *R' Yitzchak ben Elazar* who explains that the source for this rule that one should only separate from produce that has reached *gmar melacha* for other produce that has also reached that stage is the following *pasuk*: "Your gift shall be reckoned for you like grain from the threshing-floor and like the ripeness of the vat". In other words, we learn that the one must separate from products for other products that have all "reached the vat" – *gmar melacha*. Importantly, the *pasuk* list specific cases. The *Pnei Moshe* explains that the *pasuk* only excludes those things explicitly mentioned in the *pasuk*, with our case left out.

If we understand the *Gemara* like the *Pnei Moshe*, that the *Torah* only excluded specific cases, it is difficult to understand why the *Mishnah* (1:8) lists those specific cases

and then later (1:10) teaches this law as a general rule. (See the *Radbaz*, *Maaser* 5:18 who poses a similar question.)

R' Chaim however in his commentary explains that the *pasuk* excludes grapes that will be used for pickling which are "considered as if they have reached *gmar melacha* in this respect".

Importantly, what we derive from *R' Chaim*'s understanding is that the term *gmar melacha* has different meanings in different contexts. Using the example of raisins, for *terumot* and *maaserot*, they reached *gmar melacha* once the raisins have finished drying and have been formed into a pile. *Gmar melacha* in that context – *keviut* - is the point after which one can no longer eat from that food in a snack fashion without separating *terumot* and *maaserot* – all the required work has been performed. Here however, the term *gmar melacha* has a different meaning and a more subtle understanding. How so?

The *Chazon Ish* (*Maaserot* 3:23) suggests that perhaps what we learn from the *pasuk* is that when separating from one pile for another, the *Torah* excludes only items that lack a substantial physical process, e.g., grapes that require pressing. Consequently, despite forming a pile being considered substantial with respect to the obligation of *keviut* for *terumot* and *maaserot*, it is not substantial for this law to prevent one from separating from wine for raisins. That said, he continues that if the *hafrasha* is performed prior to the grapes turning into raisons, as we have been explaining, then this explanation would be insufficient since it should also be considered lacking a substantial change in the grape itself.

Along a similar line to the *Chazon Ish*, perhaps for *hafrasha*, the *Torah* excludes not food that lack a substantial change, but food that lack a substantial change requiring human involvement – grapes that need to be pressed, grain that needs to be threshed. Since for these grapes it is a matter of leaving them to dry, they are considered "complete" for this law.

Revision Questions

תרומות אי:חי – גי:הי

- What is the law regarding one who separates *trumah* from olives that will be used for producing oil for *tevel* oil? (ח: א)
- Can one separate *trumah* from olives that will be pickled for *tevel* oil? (v: א)
- What is the law regarding the previous case, if after the person separates *trumah*, he decides to use the remaining olives to produce oil? (υ: κ)
- What is the general rule outlined in the last *Mishnah* of the first *perek*? (י: א)
- Can one separate from *tahor* produce for *tameh* produce? (κ: ב)
- Describe the cases mentioned regarding cakes of dried figs, where one can act in the manner described in the previous question, and where one cannot. (κ : 2)
- What is the law regarding one who separates *trumah gedolah* from *tameh* produce for *tahor* produce? (Include the opinion of R' Yehudah) ($(x^i : x^i)$)
- What other case shares the above described law? (ב' :ב')
- What is law regarding one who:
 - o Tovels his keilim on Shabbat?
 - Ocooks on Shabbat?
 - o Plants on Shabbat?
 - o Plants during the Shmittah year?

Include the rulings for both *shogeg* and *meizid*. (ב':ג')

- Ideally one should not separate *trumah* from one species for another. What is the law if someone nevertheless does so? (בי:די)
- Ideally, how should one select which produce shall be *trumah* where: (ב':די)
 - There is a *kohen* present?
 - There is no *kohen* present?
- If one has the choice, which of the following should one choose to be *trumah*:
 - O A small complete onion or half a larger onion? (ב' :ה')
 - Olives that will be used for making oil or olives that will be used for pickling? (יו: יבי)
 - O Normal or cooked wine? (ב':ר')
- What is the general rule described in the *mishnah* regarding the way one selects his trumah? (בי בי)
- What should one do in the case where one finds that the wine he separated for trumah has become vinegar, yet he is unsure whether it changed prior to separating trumah? (גי: אי)
- In a case of *safek trumah* where another *safek trumah* is separated, what is the law if: ('.: ב'.)
 - One of the *safek trumot* became mixed up with one pile of chulin and the other *safek trumah* with another?
 - o Both of the *safe trumot* fell into the same pile of *chulin*?
- What is the law regarding a case where two partners separate *trumah* separately, one after the other? (Include all three opinions) (*'''''')*)
- The opinion of *R' Akiva* described in the previous question only applies in a specific case what is it? (κ: τ')
- If the owner gave someone permission to separate *trumah*, yet renounces the permission just prior to the person separating *trumah*, what is the law regarding the separated *trumah*? ('7: '7)
- What is the law regarding a case where one proclaims that the *trumot* and *ma'asrot* for a particular batch is contained within the batch? (ג'י:הי)

Shiurim

ONLINE SHIURIM

Yisrael Bankier mishnahyomit.com/shiurim

> Rabbi Reuven Spolter mishnah.co

Rabbi Chaim Brown www.shemayisrael.com/mishna/

Rabbi E. Kornfeld Rabbi C. Brown http://www.dafyomi.co.il/calend ars/myomi/myomi-thisweek.htm

APPS

Mishnah Yomit mishnahyomit.com

All Mishnah Orthodox Union

Mishna Yomi Our Somayach, South Africa

Kehati

SHIUR ON KOL HALOSHON

Rabbi Moshe Meir Weiss In US dial: 718 906 6400 Then select: 1 – 2 – 4

Next Week's Mishnayot...

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	שבת קודש
26 June כייז סיון	27 June כייח סיון	28 June כייט סיון	29 June לי סיון	30 June אי תמוז	1 July בי תמוז	2 July ג' תמוז
Terumot 3:6-	Terumot 3:8-	Terumot 4:1-	Terumot 4:3-	Terumot 4:5-	Terumot 4:7-	Terumot 4:9-