

Volume 19 Issue 14

Similarity in Kilayim

Masechet Kilayim opens with prohibition of kilei zerayim – planting a mixture of seeds. The Mishnah lists pairs of vegetables that, despite having different names, are not considered kilayim, and other pairs that are. We shall try to understand the parameters the define two different vegetables as being kilayim.

R' Yonatan in the Yerushalmi (1:5) explains that when determining whether two species are kilayim, we sometimes look at the similarity in the vegetable or fruit, whereas in other cases we look at the leaves of the plant. The Gemara explains that lefet (turnip) and tznon (radish) are not kilayim since the vegetables have a similar appearance. Likewise, lefet and nafos are not kilayim since their leaves are similar (as learnt in the earlier Mishnah (1:3)). The Gemara then asks that the Mishnah (1:5) ruled that tznon and nafos are kilayim despite having both similar vegetables and leaves. The Gemara explains that the reason why they are kilayim is because their tastes are very different. We shall try to understand these three criteria: appearance of vegetable, leaf, and taste.

The Rambam (Hilchot Kilayim 3:5-6) cites the similarity in vegetable or leaf as grounds for permitting planting two different species together. The Rambam explains that this is because the issue with kilayim is appearance. Similarity of either vegetable or leaf is therefore sufficient unless the tastes of the two vegetables are very different. It is important to understand that according to the Rambam, similarity in vegetable or leaf, either of them, is grounds to be lenient.

The Rash (1:5, s.v Yerushalmi) however understand the importance of these qualities differently. He understands that differences in any of these attributes is reason to consider the two species as kilayim. That being the case we need to reread the Yerushalmi. In other words, when the Gemara continued with the example of lefet and tznon, and of lefet and nafos, these were examples where one of the characteristics was different and reason to define them as kilayim.

The difficulty with this explanation is that, as cited above, the earlier *Mishnah* (1:3) ruled that *lefet* and *nafos* were not

kilayim. The Derech Emunah cites the Ri Kurkos who explains that the Gemara was discussing the lefet and nafos whereas the Mishnah was discussing the lefet and nafotz. (Note that the text of the Mishnah we have reads nafotz, whereas the text of the Mishnah in the Yerushalmi reads nafos.) The Rash therefore must have understood that nafos and nafotz are two different vegetables.

The *Chazon Ish* (3:4) however does not differentiate between *nafos* and *nafotz* and explains that the *Rash* reads the *Yerushalmi* as follows. *Lefet* and *tzon* are indeed considered two species due to their difference of appearance. Yet *lefet* and *nafos* are not *kilayim*, not because their leaves are similar, but because they are the same.

An important difference to stress between the readings of the *Rambam* and *Rash* is whether *lefet* and *tzon* are considered *kilayim*. Indeed, we find the *Rambam* rules that they are not, because they have a similar appearance, whereas the *Raavad* disagrees.

It would seem then that the *Raavad* had the same reading of the *Yerushalmi* as the *Rash*. The *Chazon Ish* (3:5) raises this possibility. The difficulty however is that the *Raavad* only argues about whether *lefet* and *tzon* are *kilayim*, but does not argue with the rest of the *Rambam*'s understanding of the role of the similarities. In other words, when the *Rambam* rules that similarities in either the appearance of fruit or leaf is ground for leniency, the *Raavad* does not argue or present the position of the *Rash* that they are grounds for stringency.

The Chazon Ish (3:4) therefore suggests that perhaps the Raavad understands the flow of the Yerushalmi as follows. The Yerushalmi was explaining the statement, that "we sometimes go by the leaves". Indeed, like the Rambam explained, similarity in leaves alone would be grounds enough for leniency. The question is, how similar? The Gemara continues by describing where the line is drawn by way of example. For lefet and tznon, the similarity is not enough. Consequently, since the vegetables are also different, they are considered kilayim. For lefet and nafos however, the leaves are similar enough to be lenient.

Revision Questions

דמאי וי:ייב – זי:חי

- Explain the issue raised regarding a chaver who buys produce for himself and an am ha'aretz. (מי:מיב)
- Can one separate *trumot* and *ma'asrot* from *demai* on *Shabbat*? What can a *chaver* do if he accepts an invitation to eat at an am *ha'aretz's* house on Shabbat? ('N: 'Y)
- Continuing from the previous question, provided that the *chaver* makes the
 necessary "preparation" what must he do before, e.g., drinking wine at the meal?
 (יב: יבי)
- What must an employee do before eating food provided by his *am ha'aretz* employer (3 opinions)? (γ: γ: γ)
- If someone purchases wine from a *Kuti* and does not have time to separate *trumot* and *ma'asrot* before *Shabbat* what can they do during *bein ha'shmashot* (between sunset and nightfall)? (די: יז)
- If one has dates that are *tevel* at home, and is unable to get home and separate *trumot* and *ma'asrot* before *Shabbat* what should he do? Does he act differently if the dates were *demai*? ('ה: 'ז')
- What is the ruling if someone has two baskets of *tevel* produce and says: ('1: '7)
 - o "The *ma'asrot* of the first basket shall be in the second basket"?
 - o "The *ma'asrot* of this one shall be in the other, and the other's in this one"?
 - o "The *ma'asrot* of each shall be in the each other's basket"?
- What should one do if he has a mixture of: (יז: זי)
 - o 100 parts tevel and 100 parts chulin?
 - o 100 parts tevel and 100 parts ma'aser rishon?
 - o 100 parts *chulin* and 100 parts *ma'aser rishon*?
 - o 100 parts tevel and 90 parts ma'aser rishon?
 - o 90 parts tevel and 80 parts ma'aser rishon?
- What should one do if when separating *ma'aser rishon* from barrels of wine, he is unaware of his intention when saying: ('n: 'x)
 - The outer row shall be *ma'aser*?
 - o Half the outer row shall be ma'aser?
 - A row shall be *ma'aser*?
 - o Half a row shall be ma'aser?
 - One barrel shall be ma'aser?

כלאים אי:אי – הי

- The first Mishnah list pairs of produce would they be considered kilayim if planted together? (אי:אי)
- The second and third Mishnayot lists pairs of vegetables are they considered kilayim? (א':ב'-ג'י)
- What pairs of similar things are listed in the next *mishnah* and what is the *kilayim* related concern? (יד: יא)
- The next Mishnah returns to listing pairs of vegetables are they considered kilayim?

Shiurim

ONLINE SHIURIM

Yisrael Bankier mishnahyomit.com/shiurim

Rabbi Reuven Spolter mishnah.co

Rabbi Chaim Brown www.shemayisrael.com/mishna/

Rabbi E. Kornfeld Rabbi C. Brown http://www.dafyomi.co.il/calend ars/myomi/myomi-thisweek.htm

APPS

Mishnah Yomit mishnahyomit.com

All Mishnah Orthodox Union

Mishna Yomi Our Somayach, South Africa

Kehati

SHIUR ON KOL HALOSHON

Rabbi Moshe Meir Weiss In US dial: 718 906 6400 Then select: 1-2-4

Next Week's Mishnayot...

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	שבת קודש
20 March ייז אדר	21 March ייח אדר	22 March ייט אדר	23 March כי אדר	24 March כייא אדר	25 March כ״ב אדר	26 March כייג אדר
Damai 6:12- 7:1	Damai 7:2-3	Damai 7:4-5	Damai 7:6-7	Damai 7:8 - Kilayim 1:1	Kilayim 1:2-3	Kilayim 1:4-5

