Volume 18 Issue 47



Trusting the Individual

The *Mishnah* (5:9) records a debate regarding a case where two people testified that an individual became *tameh* and the person denies it. *R' Meir* maintains that he is *tameh*, while the *Chachamim* argue that the individual is believed and remains *tahor*. The *Tifferet Yisrael* explains that it is not just that he is trusted to deal with his own *taharot*. Rather he even those *taharoth* that he handled, would be considered *tahor* for other people as well.

The position of *R' Meir* is readily understood. The *Bartenura* explains that if two witnesses have the power to bring about a death penalty, then certainly they should be trusted to render this individual as being *tameh*. How then do we understand the position of the *Chachamim*?

The *Gemara* (*Keritut* 12a) provides two explanations. The first is that when it comes to matters related to *issurim*, an individual is completely trusted. The second explanation is that we "interpret his words". In other words, we understand that he means that he was *tameh*, immersed in a *mikveh* and is now *tahor*.

The *Mishnah Achrona* explains that according to the second explanation, in cases where we cannot interpret his claim in a way that would result in him being *tahor*, then he would not be believed. For example, if he said, I did not become *tameh* nor did I immerse in a *mikveh*. Interestingly the *Tifferet Yisarel* disagrees. He explains that even if we ask him directly, if he immersed in a *mikveh* after the incident in question and he replied that

he did not, he would still be *tahor*. We assume that there must be some other reason why he is concealing the *tumah* and *tahara* in the face the witnesses.

Another case the *Mishnah Achrona* raises where he would not be believed, is if the witnesses say that the *taharot* he engaged in became *tameh*. Since immersing the *taharoth* in a *mikveh* would not make them *tahor*, then there is no way to favourably interpret his words, and they must be considered *tameh*.

The *Aruch HaShulchan (Shaar Avot HaTumah* 151:22) explains that the difference between these two explanations is if the witnesses came and said you just now became *tameh*. According to the first explanation, the individual is still believed. According to the second explanation however, since there is no way to interpret his words that would make him *tahor* at that moment, we must say that he is *tameh*.¹

The Aruch HaShulchan notes that the Rambam (15:11) rules that even though he is trusted regarding taharot he had already handled, we instruct him to become tahor prior to handling other taharot. This law is presented in the Tosefta. The Aruch HaShulchan argues that this implies that the Rambam maintains first explanation, that the ruling is based on trusting the individual regarding issurim. If the reason was that we "explain his words" – that he already immersed in a mikveh and became tahor – there would be no reason to differentiate between past taharot he engaged and those in the future.

Yisrael Bankier

¹ The Aruch Hashulchan raises an additional case in which the witnesses said, you just became *tameh* and you are unaware it

occurred. He is unsure whether, according to the first explanation, we would still say the individual is trusted against the witnesses.

Revision Questions

טהרות הי הי – וי טיי

- What is the law in a case regarding the two paths where one person went on one path and another on the other? (Provide both opinions.) (π': π')
- What other case is brought that is debated in a similar manner to the previous question? ('1: '1)
- What is the law regarding one's clothes if they were trampled on by someone unknown to him? (*r*: *r*)
- What is the law regarding the clothes of one that slept in *reshut ha'rabim?* (π: :π)
- Explain the debate regarding a case where on touched a body at night and in the morning it is discovered that it is a corpse. (*σ*: *σ*)
- In what cases would all the *rok* found in a city be deemed *tameh*? (הי: הי)
- In what case would a man not be required to ask a woman if she is *tameh* after she stepped on his clothing? (*i*⁻:*n*[:])
- What would the law be in the follow cases: (הי:טי)
 - A witness says the person became *tameh* but the person denies it?
 - Two witnesses say *tameh* but the person denies it?
 - One witness say *tameh* but two witnesses say *tahor*?
 - Two witnesses say *tameh* but one says *tahor*?
 - One witness say *tameh* but one says *tahor*?
- What is the law regarding doubtful cases of *tumah* in a domain that changes from a *reshut ha'yachid* to a *reshut ha'rabim* then back? (רי:אי)
- What other case is similar to the one in the previous question? (r: r)
- What are the four *sfeikot* that *R'* Yehoshua rules as *tameh* and the Chachamim rule as being *tahor*? (*i*: ')
- What is the law if one climbs a tree in *reshut ha'rabim* that contains *tumah* but is not sure if he touched the *tumah*? (*r*): *r*)
- What is the law regarding a case where one is not sure if they entered a shop that opened to *reshut ha'rabim* and contained *tumat ha'met?* (*v*: *v*)
- What is the law if a person who entered one of two shops, one of which was *tameh*, but he was not sure which one he entered? ('x: '1)
- What is the law regarding a double doubt concerning *tumah* in a *reshut ha'yachid*? (('::'T))
- Explain the debate regarding one that enters a valley during the winter but is not sure if he walked through the *tameh* field in that valley. (יה: הי)
- Provide an example of a domain that is *reshut ha'rabim* for *tumah* but defined as a *reshut ha'yachid* for *Shabbat*? (Which case is debated?) ('1: '1)
- What location is defined as a *reshut ha'rabim* for *tumah* but only in the summer? ('1: '1)
- What is the definition of that location for *Shabbat*? (*r*: *r*)
- What is the status of the following areas for *tumah* and *Shabbat* (including all opinions):
 - o A *Basilki*? (۱۷: ۱۰)
 - o A Paran? (יט: יו)

Local Shiurim

בס״ד

Melbourne, Australia

Sunday -Thursday 10 minutes before *Mincha* <u>Mizrachi Shul</u> Melbourne, Australia

Friday & Shabbat 10 minutes before *Mincha* <u>Mizrachi Shul</u> Melbourne, Australia

> **Efrat, Israel** *Shiur in English*

Sunday - Thursday

Rabbi Mordechai Scharf 9:00am <u>Kollel Magen Avraham</u> Reemon Neighbourhood

ONLINE SHIURIM

Yisrael Bankier mishnahyomit.com/shiurim

Rabbi Chaim Brown www.shemayisrael.com/mishna/

Rabbi E. Kornfeld Rabbi C. Brown http://www.dafyomi.co.il/calend ars/myomi/myomi-thisweek.htm

SHIUR ON KOL HALOSHON

Rabbi Moshe Meir Weiss In US dial: 718 906 6400 Then select: 1 - 2 - 4

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	שבת קודש
4 July כייד תמוז	5 July כייה תמוז	6 July כ״ו תמוז	7 July כייז תמוז	8 July כ״ח תמוז	9 July כייט תמוז	10 July א׳ אב
Taharot 6:10- 7:1	Taharot 7:2-3	Taharot 7:4-5	Taharot 7:6-7	Taharot 7:8-9	Taharot 8:1-2	Taharot 8:3-4

Next Week's Mishnayot...