

Volume 15 Issue 41

Kiddushin in Thirty Days

Kiddushin can be perform based on a condition. For example, we have learnt that one can perform *kiddushin* on condition that it will only take effect in the thirty days time. The *Mishnah* (3:1) however teaches that if one does so, and during that time another person performs *kiddushin* then she is considered married to the second man and not the first. We shall probe this ruling.

The Gemara (59b) initially records a debate about what happens after the thirty-day period. According to Rav, she remains married to the second man, while Shmuel disagrees and the kiddushin of the first man would take hold. The Gemara however rejects this understanding of the debate and explains that Rav and Shmuel were arguing about a different case. The reason is that once kiddushin of the second man takes hold during the thirty-day period, it cannot simply disappear on its own once the period expires. In other words, everyone agrees that should would remain mekudeshet (betrothed) to the second man.

What if the second man divorced her or died before the thirty-day period expires? Would the *kiddushin* of the first man then take hold?

The *Tosfot R' Akiva Eiger* cites the *Ramban* who maintains that she would indeed be *mekudeshet* to the first man. The *Ramban* derives this from the *Mishnah* that simply writes that she is *mekudeshet* to the second man and does not state that she is not *mekudeshet* to the first. In other words, the only thing preventing the *kiddushin* of the first man from working in the *Mishnah* is that once the time expires she is already *mekudeshet* to someone else. It is not however that the second *kiddushin* somehow reverted the first. Consequently, if she is no longer *mekudeshet* to the second man when the time expires, the first *kiddushin* would still work. The *Ramban* cites the *Yerushalmi* that also maintains this position.

Interestingly the *Ramban* continues, citing the *Yerushalmi*, that if the second man died during this period and she required *yibum*, this would also prevent the first *kiddushin* from working. The *Ramban* explains that the *Yerushalmi*

maintains *Rav*'s position that *kiddushin* in general would not work for a *shomeret yabam* (a women who is waiting for either *yibum* or *chalitza*). The *Ramban* however explains that since we rule that *kiddushin* does work with a *shomeret yabam*, she would require a *get* from the first man and *yibum* or *chalitza* from the brother of the second.

The *Rashba* however disagrees. He explains that we rule according to *R' Yochanan* who maintains that even if no one else came during this period, and she simply changed her mind, this would prevent the *kiddushin* from taking effect. The *Rashba* reasons that if a declaration alone can prevent the *kiddushin*, then certainly her accepting the *kiddushin* from someone else should be no different. He rejects the *Ramban*'s proof from our *Mishnah* since once it states that she is *mekudeshet* to the second man, it is understood that she is not *mekudeshet* to the first.

The Ran however dismissed the Rashba's argument. The Ran accepts that in general an action demonstrating one's intent is more powerful than a declaration. He however argues that her accepting the kiddushin from the second man need not be interpreted as a complete retraction from the first. She could still be maintaining the first kiddushin if the second's does not work out during this period. The Ran argues that since the Gemara entertained the idea that according to Shmuel that second kiddushin would only work until the thirty days were complete, this must mean that her acceptance of the second kiddushin is not a complete rejection of the first.

In defence of the *Rashba*, the *Tosfot R' Akiva Eiger* rejects the *Ran's* proof. He explains that according to the original assumption, *Shmuel* maintains the second *kiddushin* would be temporary. Considering it is temporary, that is why the acceptance would not be considered a rejection of the first *kiddushin*. However according to the conclusion, where the acceptance of the second *kiddushin* would be permanent, perhaps such acceptance should indeed be considered as a rejection of the first *kiddushin*. Consequently no proof can be brought from the *Gemara*'s initial assumption.

Yisrael Bankier

¹ The Rashba brings other proofs which the Ran addresses.

Revision Questions

קידושין בי:חי – גי:ייא

- Can a person perform kidushin with:
 - o Matanot kehuna?
 - o Ma'aser sheni?
 - Hekdesh? (בי:חי)
 - o Orlah?
 - o Basar be'chalay?
 - o The money made from selling *kil'ei kerem? (בי:טי)*
 - o Trumah?
 - Mei Chatat? (בי:יי)
- What is the law regarding the case where a person sends a *shaliach* to perform *kidushin*, and the *shaliach* marries the woman himself? (יגי:אי)
- What is the law regarding the case where a person is *mekadesh* a woman on the condition that it takes effect in thirty days, and in that time another person performs *kidushin*? (גי:אי)
- Can *kidushin* be performed on the condition that he will give her a sum of money? (x': \(\mathcal{L}'\):
- What is the difference if he stipulated as a condition in *kidushin* the he "has 200 *zuz*" and he "will show her 200 *zuz*"? (יבי)
- What case is brought that is similar to the previous question? (ג': ג')
- According to R' Meir, what qualifies as a satisfactory condition? (κ': ד')
- What is the law regarding a case where a man says "when I married you I thought you were *bat Kohen*" and she is really a *bat Levi*? (ג'י:הי)
- Can a person *mekadesh* a married woman now, in case her current husband dies? (גי:ה: מני:ה)
- What is the law regarding the case where a person performs *kidushin* on the condition her father consents? ('1: 'x')
- Regarding the previous case what if her father subsequently dies? Or what if instead the husband dies? (י: 'ג')
- What is the law regarding the case where a man says that he married off is daughter but he does know to who, and then someone says it was him? ('\tau:'\tau)
- Regarding the previous case what if two people say it was them? ('1: '1)
- Is a person believed if he said regarding his daughter that he married her off and subsequently accepted her *get* when she was a *katan*? ('n: 'x')
- Is a man on his death bed believed if he says he has children? Or if he says he has brothers? (Why is this important?) (א: :מי)
- What is the law regarding the case where a person who has two wives and two daughters from each of the wives says that he married off his "big" daughter? ((v: 'v))
- What is the law regarding a case where a man say to a woman "I was mekadesh you!" and:
 - o She denies it?
 - She say it was not her but her daughter that he was mekadesh? (x: 'y)
- What is the law regarding a case where a man say to a woman "I was *mekadesh* your daughter" and she say it was not her daughter but herself that he was *mekadesh*? (אי:"א)
- Complete the following rules and explain: (ג': יייב)

<i></i>	עבירו	וין ואין	קידוש	שיש	מקום	בל
	עבירר	אין ויש	קידוע	שיש	מקום	כל
עבירה עבירה	ן ויש	קידושי	שאין	מקום	כל נ	

• According to *R' Tarfon*, how can one "metaher" a mamzer? (ג'י: ייג)

Melbourne, Australia

Sunday -Thursday 10 minutes before *Mincha* Mizrachi Shul

Melbourne, Australia

Friday & Shabbat

10 minutes before *Mincha* Mizrachi Shul

Melbourne, Australia

Efrat, Israel

Shiur in English

Sunday - Thursday

Rabbi Mordechai Scharf 9:00am

Kollel Magen Avraham Reemon Neighbourhood

ONLINE SHIURIM

Yisrael Bankier mishnahyomit.com/shiurim

Rabbi Chaim Brown www.shemayisrael.com/mishna/

Rabbi E. Kornfeld Rabbi C. Brown http://www.dafyomi.co.il/calend ars/myomi/myomi-thisweek.htm

SHIUR ON KOL HALOSHON

Rabbi Moshe Meir Weiss In US dial: 718 906 6400 Then select: 1 – 2 – 4

Next Week's Mishnayot...

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	שבת קודש
4 November כייו חשון	5 November כייז חשון	6 November כייח חשון	7 November כייט חשון	8 November לי חשון	9 November אי כסלו	10 November בי כסלו
Kidushin 3:12-13	Kidushin 4:1-	Kidushin 4:3-	Kidushin 4:5-	Kidushin 4:7-	Kidushin 4:9- 10	Kidushin 4:11-12

