

Volume 13, Issue 34

Understanding a Chatzer in Maaserot

In this week's *Mishnahyot* we learnt that a *chatzer* – one's courtyard – is *koveah*. In other words, if one's produce has reached *gmar melacha* (completely processed) and it is brought into one's *chatzer*, then *terumot* and *maaserot* (*maaserot* for short) must be separated prior to consuming the produce. From this point, the requirement applies even if one wishes to eat the food as a snack (*achilat arai*). In short, the produce is now *tevel*.

The *Mishnah* (3:5) records a debate regarding the definition of a *chatzer* that qualifies for this law. *R' Yishmael* understand that it must be like a *chatzer tzurit* – protected with a guard. *R' Akiva* disqualifies a *chatzer* if it is shared by two residents with independent access rights. *R' Nechamya* understands that only a *chatzer* where one would be unashamed to eat inside it would qualify. Whereas *R' Yossi* disqualifies a *chatzer* in which, if a stranger wandered in he would not be questioned.

Rabbeinu Tam (Tosfot, Niddah 47b) cites our Mishnah when raising a difficultly on another Gemara (Bava Metzia 88a). The Gemara cites a debate regarding what is koveah on a biblical level. R' Yanai maintains, that the produce is not considered tevel until it is brought into the house. He cites the verse for vidui maaserot as a proof, "I have removed the kodesh (referring to maaserot) from my house" (Devarim 26). R' Yochanan however argues that even one's chatzer is koveah. He cites the passuk relating to maaser rishon, "and you shall eat it in your gates and be satisfied". The Tosfot asks that our *Mishnah* appears to prove that one's *chatzer* is koveah and therefore presents a difficulty for the position of R' Yanai. The Tosfot answer that while it is true that according to R' Yannai on a biblical level the produce is not tevel until it reaches the house, on a rabbinic level a chatzer can be koveah. The Ramban explains that since one's chatzer can be protected like one's house one may confuse the two and err with respect to this law. He cites the Yerushalmi in support, that R' Yanai agrees that a chazter is koveah on a rabbinic level (like an acquisition).

Similarly, the *Mishnah Rishona* understands in our *Mishnah* that everyone agrees that the law is rabbinic. Nevertheless, it is only applied to a *chatzer* that is like one's house. The debate is regarding the critical attribute that makes a *chatzer* like a house. According to *R' Yishmael* and *R' Akiva* the criteria is whether it is protected. He understands that according to *R' Nechamya* it is whether he feels comfortable to engage in his affairs as he would at home. Finally, while *R' Yossi* does not require that it be protected, it nevertheless cannot be open to everyone.

What is the position of the *Rambam*? How does he rule? The *Rambam* (*Maaser* 4:1) rules that produce only become *tevel* on a biblical level once it reaches one's house. It would appear then that the *Rambam* ruled like *R' Yannai*. Indeed, this is the position of the *Kesef Mishnah* (3:4). He explains that the *Rambam* rules like *R' Yannai* since he was *R' Yochanan*'s Ray.

The Biur HaGra (YD 331:139) however understands that the Rambam rules like R' Yochanan that a chatzer is koveah on a biblical level. He cites the Rambam (4:7) who states, "just like a house is koveah for maaser, so too a chazter is koveah" which appears to be equating the two (if the *chatzer* qualifies as in our Mishnah). The Chazon Ish (Maaserot 5:16) cites the Rambam (3:3) as a further proof. There, the Rambam lists six situations that are koveah with a chatzer being one of them and a house omitted. He reasons that if a chatzer is fundamentally different to a house then the list should have also included a house separately. Furthermore, he cites the Rambam (4:2) that states that only if one eats tevel that was koveah in one's house would he be liable to lashes (due to a biblical violation). He adds "... however regarding the rest of the six cases we mentioned" one would not receive lashes, rather makot mardut (a rabbinic form). The Chazon Ish understands that be referring to the "rest of" the six implies that the chatzer was not excluded. Furthermore, that Rambam began (chapter 3) by referring to chatzer and continued (chapter 4) by referring to a house to teach that they are equivalent.

Yisrael Bankier

¹ See the *Ritva* (*Bava Metzia* 88a) that addresses the question of why we are not concerned that one might separate *maaserot* from rabbinic *tevel* produce in the *chatzer* for *tevel* on a biblical level.

Revision Questions

מעשרות בי:גי – גי:חי

- Explain the debates regarding the point in time during a traders journey that his produce become obligated to remove *ma'asrot*: (בי:גי)
 - o If the seller is taking his stock to sell in another city.
 - o If the seller is an ordinary travelling salesman.
- Explain the debate regard the status of produce that has had *trumah gedolah* separated from it prior to the produce's *g'mar Melacha*. (בי:די)
- Regarding the previous question, what product is the exception within the *Chachamim*'s opinion? (בי:די)
- If someone buys fruit from someone while they were picking them from the tree, does he need to separate *ma'asrot*? (ב': ה'י)
- If someone buys fruit while they are still connected to the tree, does he need to separate *ma'asrot* before eating the fruit: (ב': וּנ')
 - o If he did not specify which fruit he would be taking?
 - o If he specified the fruit he was buying?
- Under what condition is a field worker (employee) required to separate ma'asrot prior to eating the fruit? (בי: זי)
- If a field worker is working with one type of fruit can he: (בי:תו)
 - o Eat from another type without separating ma'asrot?
 - Exchange it with a worker working with another type of fruit and eat it without separating ma'asrot?
- Can anyone eat from figs (without separating *ma'asrot*) that have been carried through the courtyard of a house and place in area set aside for drying? Under what circumstances can the workers eat these figs? ('\(\frac{1}{2}\):\(\frac{1}{2}\):\(\frac{1}{2}\):\(\frac{1}{2}\)
- When can a general field worker (not involved in working directly with fruit) eat fruit with out separating *ma'asrot*? (2 cases) (*'*: *'*)
- When can a general field worker (working directly with fruit) eat fruit with out separating *ma'asrot*? (κ': κ')
- When can one who finds dried figs in the street, eat them without separating ma'asrot? (ג'י:ד')
- What type of *chatzer* is *kove'ah*? (include all opinions) (גי:הי)
- Are roofs *kove 'ah*? (د': ۱۲)
- Is a porch *kove 'ah*? (ג': ו')
- Which of the following are *kove'ah?* (יג': ז')
 - o Guard's hut in a field.
 - o A potter's hut.
 - o Sukkah.

If a fig tree is growing in a *chatzer* (that is ordinarily *kove'ah*) can one eat from its fruit without separating *ma'asrot*? (ג'י:תי)

Melbourne, Australia

Sunday -Thursday 10 minutes before *Mincha* <u>Mizrachi Shul</u> Melbourne, Australia

Friday & Shabbat 10 minutes before *Mincha* <u>Mizrachi Shul</u> Melbourne, Australia

> **Efrat, Israel** Shiur in English

Sunday -Thursday Rabbi Mordechai Scharf 9:00am Kollel Magen Avraham Reemon Neighbourhood

ONLINE SHIURIM

Yisrael Bankier mishnahyomit.com/shiurim

Rabbi Chaim Brown www.shemayisrael.com/mishna/

Rabbi E. Kornfeld Rabbi C. Brown http://www.dafyomi.co.il/calend ars/myomi/myomi-thisweek.htm

SHIUR ON KOL HALOSHON

Rabbi Moshe Meir Weiss In US dial: 718 906 6400 Then select: 1 – 2 – 4

Next Week's Mishnayot...

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	שבת קודש
20 November יייט חשון	21 November כי חשון	22 November כייא חשון	23 November כייב חשון	24 November כייג חשון	25 November כייד חשון	26 November כייה חשון
Maaserot 3:9- 10	Maaserot 4:1-	Maaserot 4:3-	Maaserot 4:5-	Maaserot 5:1-	Maaserot 5:3-	Maaserot 5:5-