Volume 12. Issue 53



Immersing Keilim Inside a Kli

The *Mishnah* (6:2) taught that if one immerses a bucket that is full of *keilim* in a *mikveh*, those *keilim* become *tahor*. If however he does not immerse the bucket, then the *keilim* are not *tahor* until the water inside the bucket connects with the water in the *mikveh* via a hole that is *ke'shfoferet ha'nod* (two fingers width circumference). This *Mishnah* requires further explanation.

The *Gemara* in *Chagiga* (22a) explains that when the *Mishnah* states that "if it was not immersed" it means if the bucket did not require immersion, i.e. it is *tahor*. The beginning of the *Mishnah* therefore refers to a *kli* that required immersion, i.e. a *tameh kli*. The *Gemara* explains that when the containing *kli* is *tameh*, the opening does not need to be *ke'shfoferet ha'nod* because since the *tevillah* is effective for the outer *kli* (making it *tahor*) it is effective for all the *keilim* contained inside it.

The *Tosfot HaRid* explains that ordinarily for the water inside the bucket to be considered part of the the *mikveh* water and not drawn water, it must be connected to the *mikveh* water by an opening *ke'shfoferet ha'nod*. Regarding a *kli* that is *tameh* however, immersing the *kli* even with a small opening, is effective to afford it *tahara*. Consequently, the water inside must not considered drawn water when immersing the *tameh kli*. That being the case, it must also be effective for the *keilim* inside the *kli*.

Till now the determining factor of whether the hole in the *kli* must be larger than *shefoferet ha'nod* is whether the *kli* is *tameh*. The *Mishnah Achrona* however cites a *Tosefta* that differentiates based on the location of the hole. If it is on the side then it must be *ke'shfoferet ha'nod*, but if it is at the top it can be smaller than that.

While it may appear that the *tahara* of the outer *kli* does not appear to be a factor in the *Tosefta*, he however cites the *Rambam* that rules according to both our *Mishnah* and the *Tosefta*, suggesting that the *Tosefta* was dealing with a *tameh kli*. Indeed, this is also the position presented in the *Shulchan Aruch* (YD 201:15) In other words, the scope of when the hole can be less than *ke'shfoferet ha'nod* is only if the *kli* is *tameh* and the opening is at the top. What difference does the location of the opening make?

The *Mishnah Achrona* suggest that the location is important to the extent that it indicates that the *tameh kli* has been fully immersed. If it is on the side, then it is possible for the water of inside the *kli* (in which the inner *keilim* are full immersed) to be in contact with the *mikveh* water without the outer *kli* being fully immersed. There is therefore reason to make a *gezeira* out of concern that it will not be fully immersed. The immersion will not be effective to purify the outer *kli* and no longer afford us the leniency of having a smaller opening (as described above).¹

The *Shach* (YD 201:28) however explains the importance of the location of the hole differently. Citing *R' Shimshon*, he explains that when the hole at the top of the *kli* and the *mikveh* water is above the hole then the water inside connects with the *mikveh* water and the water inside is considered *mikveh* water. If however the hole is in the side and it is smaller than *ke'shfoferet ha'nod*, then only the water in line with the hole is considered *mikveh* water.

Yisrael Bankier

¹ It appears that the *Tosfot HaRid* provides the same explanation.

Revision Questions

מקואות די הי – וי אי

- What is the difference if a *shoket* was present in an existing rock or if it was originally a separate *kli* and connected to the ground? (Five different laws.) (ד׳: ה׳)
- In the later case, how large must a hole be in its base such that water that passes over it is valid for use in a *mikveh*? (Include all opinions.) (די: הי)
- Is water in a *shoket* flowing from a *maayan* valid? (הי: אי)
- Regarding the previous question, when could the water that collects further downs stream be valid? (ה׳:א׳)
- What is the law regarding water that collected in a *breicha* from a *maayan* that was divided then reconnected with the stream from the *maayan*? (הי:אי)
- Explain the debate regarding water from a *maayan* that passed over *keilim*. (הי:בי)
- Regarding water that spread from a *maayan* into multiple streams, when would adding drawn water to it change its status? (*π*: *κ*)
- Explain the debate regarding the status of the oceans. (הי:די)
- What is the law regarding *"zochalin"*? (הי:הי)
- What is the law regarding "notfin"? (הי:הי)
- Explain the debate regarding immersing in *notfin* that became zochalin. (הי:הי)
- When can a wave detached from the ocean purify? (הי: וי)
- When can the foot-holes created by donkeys qualify as a *mikveh*? (הי: רי)
- What is the case of the *chardelit* that is the subject of debate between *Beit Shammai* and *Beit Hillel*? When do they agree? (ה׳:ר׳)
- Can one immerse items in the cracks of a *mikveh*? (וי:אי)
- Explain the debate regarding when one can immerse items in the Ukat HaMe'arah?
 (': 'ν')
- Can one immerse a bucket full of utensils at the same time? ('1: '1)
- What is the law regarding a case where three *mikvaot* each had twenty *seah* of water and three *temei'im* immersed in each of them causing the waters to mix where: (*v*:*v*)
- The end *mikveh* consisted of drawn water?
- The middle *mikveh* contained drawn water?
- What is the law regarding a case where a sponge containing three *lugin* of drawn water fell into a *mikveh* containing less than forty *seah*? ('T: 'T)
- Explain the debate regarding the manner in which one would be allowed to immerse in chest that is immersed in the ocean. (*r*::*n*)
- Regarding the previous question, how does the law change if one wished to immerse inside a large basket? ('n: 'n)
- What other two laws are mentioned regarding baskets in the same *Mishnah*? (ר::הי)
- What is the law regarding utensils that are immersed in a partially submerged *tameh gistra*? ('1: '1)
- What other case is brought that is similar to the one in the previous question? ('): ')
- What are the dimensions described as *k'shfoferet ha'nod* and for what are these dimensions important? ('1: '1)
- What is the law if there is a doubt whether these dimensions are satisfied? (': :')
- Explain the debate regarding what items would reduce a hole that size. ('1: '1)

Next Week's Mishnayot...

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	שבת קודש
15 November ג' כל ו	16 November ד' כ <i>מ</i> ו	17 November ה' כפלו	18 November ו' כלו	19 November ז' ככלו	20 November ה' ככל ו	21 November ט' ככלו
Mikvaot 6:8-9	Mikvaot 6:10-11	Mikvaot 7:1-2	Mikvaot 7:3-4	Mikvaot 7:5-6	Mikvaot 7:7-8:1	Mikvaot 8:2-3

Melbourne, Australia

Sunday -Thursday 10 minutes before *Mincha* <u>Mizrachi Shul</u> Melbourne, Australia

Friday & Shabbat 10 minutes before *Mincha* <u>Beit Ha'Roeh</u> Melbourne, Australia

> **Efrat, Israel** *Shiur in English*

Sunday -Thursday

Rabbi Mordechai Scharf 9:00am Kollel Magen Avraham Reemon Neighbourhood

ONLINE SHIURIM

Rabbi Chaim Brown www.shemayisrael.com/mishna/

Rabbi E. Kornfeld Rabbi C. Brown http://www.dafyomi.co.il/calend ars/myomi/myomi-thisweek.htm

SHIUR ON KOL HALOSHON

Rabbi Moshe Meir Weiss In US dial: 718 906 6400 Then select: 1 - 2 - 4

