Volume 11. Issue 6



The "Tadir" ness of a Tamid

The tenth *perek* of *Masechet Zevachim* introduces the concept of priorities of offering *korbanot*. The basic principle learnt in this *perek* is that a *korban*'s priority is ranked on two elements – firstly, the frequency and secondly by *kedusha*. Therefore, we learn in the first *Mishnah* of the *perek* – a *tamid* precedes a *musaf* offering due to the fact that the *tamid* is offered more frequently.

The *Sfat Emet* (*Pesachim* 58b) comments regarding a case where the community has no sheep to offer up for the *Korban Tamid*. In this case, a doubt exists whether the priority of the *Tamid* means that the *korban* must always be offered first, even to the detriment to all other offerings which cannot be offered until the *Tamid* has been given, or can other sacrifices be offered in this case as there is no alternative option.

The *Sfat Emet* brings a proof from the *Gemara* in *Arachin* (11a) which describes the period preceding the destruction of the *Beit Hamikdash* where *Olot Nedava* where offered in the *Mikdash*. The *Gemara* questions how this could occur, considering the *Korban Tamid* was nullified from *Shiva Asar B'Tamuz* due to the lack of sheep in the besieged capital. The *Gemara* answers that they found some cattle (which would not be kosher for a *korban tamid* but is acceptable as a regular *korban olah*) and offered it. The proof from this *Gemara* seems to be that one does not require a *korban tamid* to be offered in order to allow other *korbanot* to be offered.

However, this seems to contradict the *Or HaChaim* (*Vayikra* 6:2) who states that when *Yerushalayim* was under siege and *Bnei Yisrael* could not find any sheep locally, they were not able to offer any other *korbanot* unless they paid an exorbitant amount of money in order to acquire a sheep with which to offer as a *Tamid*. This seems to imply that it is forbidden to offer any other *korban* where a *korban Tamid* has not been offered.

The *Sfat Emet* seems to answer this contradiction by stating that the original case of precedence from the *Mishnah* was

based on a *limmud* from a *pasuk* (*Bamidbar* 28:23). This *pasuk*, which is used to prove that an *Olah* must precede all other offerings, is only stated in a case where an appropriate animal (i.e. a sheep) for an *Olah* is in the vicinity. If this animal is not in proximity, one can offer another *korban* prior to an *Olah* being offered and it is accepted *b'dieved*.

The *Kovetz Shiurim* suggests another answer to resolve the contradiction. The difference of opinions is regarding classification of *korbanot*. No *issur* exists in offering a *korban* that is less frequent prior to that of one with more frequency, rather there exists a *mitzvah* of giving priority to a *korban* that is offered more frequently. If one is unable to perform this *mitzvah* due to circumstances beyond their control, as was the case in *Yerushalayim*, then one is exempt from this *mitzvah* (*onness rachmana patrei*).

The Mishnat Rebbi Aharon states that this mitzvah that requires a Tamid to be offered first is not only due to the fact that offerings that are more frequent receive priority. He notes that there is a special din in place for the Tamid which gives it precedence even without the priority of frequency. This is evident in the fact that a Kohen who is not from the Mishmar of a particular week, is unable to offer up a korban nedavah (which usually is his right) prior to the offering of the korban tamid of that particular morning. The *din* of frequency and priority applies only to those kohanim who are serving in the mishmar of that week. That is, in a case where a *Kohen* who is on duty has both a Korban Tamid and Korban Nedava to offer he must give priority to the one that is more frequent. However, a Kohen who is not serving that week, and therefore has no personal obligation or option to offer the Tamid may still not offer his Korban until the Korban Tamid is offered. For this particular Kohen, this is not due to the din of tadir, but rather a unique law that applies to the Tamid that it must be the first offering of the day. However, it is important to note that this law only applies when an animal that is fit for a Tamid is in the vicinity. If no animal fit for a Tamid can be found, then one is able to offer up a different korban.

Yehuda Gottlieb

Revision Questions

זבחים יי:אי יייא וי

- In the following cases, which offering takes precedence, and why:(יי:אי)
 - Tamid and Mussaf?
 - Mussaf of Rosh Chodesh and Mussaf of Shabbat?
 - Mussaf of Rosh Hashanah and Mussaf of Rosh Chodesh?
- In the following cases, which offering takes precedence, and why: (": בי די)
 - The blood of a *chatat* and the blood of an *olah*?
 - The sacrificial parts of a *chatat* and those of an *olah*?
 - Chatat and asham?
 - Todah and asham?
 - *Ma'aser* and *bechor*?
 - *Ma'aser* and bird offerings?
 - *Menachot* and bird offerings?
 - Minchat choteh and minchat nedava?
 - Bird *olah* and bird *chatat*?
- What is the difference between a regular *asham*, and an *asham* of a *nazir* or *metzorah*? (':r:')
- Does the ruling of precedence end upon sacrifice or continue until consumption? ('): ')
- Explain the *machloket* of *R' Meir* and *Chachamim* regarding the order of consumption of a day old *shlamim* and a current day's Chatat offering. (1): (1):
- Are there any restrictions on how the *kohen* is allowed to cook the part of the sacrifice he is given to eat? ('i: '')
- Regarding the previous question, which additives does *R' Meir* argue cannot be added and why? Who does he argue with? (*'*1: *'*)
- If oil is being distributed to the *kohanim* for consumption, from what it its source? ('n:'')
- If oil is being burnt on the *mizbeach*, from what is its source? ('n: '')
- Regarding the previous question, what other option does *R' Tarfon* add? (":")
- If blood hit clothing, from which offering did it come such that it requires laundering? (ייא:איי)
- What cases does the *Mishnah* bring for an invalid sacrifice that: (י״א בי)
 Was never *kosher*? (Four cases)
 - Had a moment when it was *kosher*? (Three cases)
- If blood ricocheted off the *mizbeach* and landed on clothing does it require laundering? (ייא:ג׳י)
- Explain the debate regarding whether the hide from a korban requires laundering? (ייא געייי)
- How much of a garment that had blood on it requires laundering? (יייא גי)
- What must be done to an earthenware utensil in which a *korban chatat* was cooked? (ייא :דיי)
- What must be done to a metal utensil in which a *korban chatat* was cooked? ((ייא :די)
- Considering the last two questions is there any restriction on where it must be performed? (ייא :די)
- What must be done if a garment that requires washing was taken out of the *azarah* and became *tameh*? (יייא :הי)
- What must be done with a copper utensil used for cooking a *korban chatat* that was taken outside the *azarah* and became *tameh*? (*י*"א :*r*")

Local Shiurim

Melbourne, Australia

Sunday -Thursday 10 minutes before *Mincha* <u>Mizrachi Shul</u> Melbourne, Australia

Friday & Shabbat 10 minutes before *Mincha* <u>Beit Ha'Roeh</u> Melbourne, Australia

> **Efrat, Israel** *Shiur in English*

Sunday -Thursday Rabbi Mordechai Scharf 9:00am Kollel Magen Avraham Reemon Neighbourhood

ONLINE SHIURIM

Rabbi Chaim Brown www.shemayisrael.com/mishna/

> Rav Meir Pogrow 613.org/mishnah.html

Rabbi E. Kornfeld Rabbi C. Brown http://www.dafyomi.co.il/calend ars/myomi/myomi-thisweek.htm

SHIUR ON KOL HALOSHON

Rabbi Moshe Meir Weiss In US dial: 718 906 6400 Then select: 1 - 2 - 4

		שבת קודש	Friday	Thursday	Wednesday	Tuesday	Monday	Sunday
	ו׳ אדר	8 th March אדר						
Zevachim 11:7- 8 Zevachim 12:1- 2 Zevachim 12:3- 4 Zevachim 12:5- 6 Zevachim 13:1- 2 Zevachim 13:1- 4 Zevachim 13:1- 2 Zevachim 13:1- 2 Zevachim 13:1-	13:5-	Zevachim 13:5	Zevachim 13:3- 4	Zevachim 13:1- 2	Zevachim 12:5- 6	Zevachim 12:3- 4	Zevachim 12:1- 2	

Next Week's Mishnayot...